UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Cesar Barajas-Gil	Case Number: <u>11-10460M-001</u>
as represented by counsel. I conclude	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on October 31, 2011. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
The defendant is not a citizen of th	e United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
The defendant, at the time of the c	narged offense, was in the United States illegally.
If released herein, the defendan Enforcement, placing him/her beyo or otherwise removed.	faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs and the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
The defendant has no significant c	ontacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated e.
The defendant has a prior criminal	history.
The defendant lives/works in Mexic	co.
The defendant is an amnesty app substantial family ties to Mexico.	licant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered.
The defendant attempted to evade	law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
The defendant is facing a maximum	n of years imprisonment.
	Cesar Barajas-Gil with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. as represented by counsel. I conclude the defendant pending trial in this case. The defendant is not a citizen of the The defendant, at the time of the charter of the defendant, at the time of the charter of the defendant, placing him/her beyon or otherwise removed. The defendant has no significant control of the defendant has no resources in to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal the defendant lives/works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty app substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to the defendant attempted to evade.

The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: October 31, 2011

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge